arXiv:1701.04160v1 [math.PR] 16 Jan 2017

OPTIMAL QUANTIZATION FOR PIECEWISE UNIFORM DISTRIBUTIONS

MRINAL KANTI ROYCHOWDHURY

Abstract. Quantization for probability distributions refers to the idea of estimating a given probability by a discrete probability supported by a finite number of points. In this paper, piecewise uniform distributions are considered on $\mathbb{R}$ with infinite number of pieces and finite number of pieces. For these two probability measures, we investigate the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization error for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is seen that for uniform distribution with infinite number of pieces to determine the optimal sets of n-means one needs to know an optimal set of $(n-1)$-means, but with finite number of pieces one can directly determine the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization error for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. Introduction

Quantization is a nonlinear, zero-memory operation of converting a continuous signal into a discrete signal that assumes only a finite number of levels. It has broad application in engineering technology such as signal processing and data compression (see [GG, GN, Z]). For mathematical treatment of quantization one is referred to Graf-Luschgy's book (see [GL1]). For most recent work on quantization for uniform distribution interested readers can also see [DR].

Let $\mathbb{R}^d$ denote the d-dimensional Euclidean space equipped with the Euclidean metric $\|\cdot\|$. Let P be a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then, the nth quantization error for P, denoted by $V_n := V_n(P)$, is defined by

$$V_n(P) = \inf_{\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n} \int \min_{a \in \alpha} \|x - a\|^2 dP(x),$$

where $\mathcal{D}_n := \{\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^d : 1 \leq \text{card}(\alpha) \leq n\}$. We assume that $\int \|x\|^2 dP < \infty$ to make sure that there is a set α for which the infimum occurs (see [AW, GKL, GL1, GL2]). Such a set α for which the infimum occurs and contains no more than n-points is called an *optimal set of n-means* and the elements of an optimal set are called *optimal points* or *optimal quantizers*. If α is a finite set, then the error $\int \min_{a \in \alpha} \|x - a\|^2 dP(x)$ is often referred to as the *cost* or *distortion error* for α, and is denoted by $V(P; \alpha)$. Thus, $V_n := V_n(P) = \inf\{V(P; \alpha) : \alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ card}(\alpha) \leq n\}$. It is known that for a continuous probability measure an optimal set of n-means contains exactly n-elements (see [GL1]). For a finite set $\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, by $M(a|\alpha)$ we denote the set of all elements in $\mathbb{R}^d$ which are nearest to a among all the elements in α. The set $M(a|\alpha)$ is called the *Voronoi region* generated by $a \in \alpha$. The set $\{M(a|\alpha) : a \in \alpha\}$ is called the *Voronoi diagram* or *Voronoi tessellation* of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with respect to the set α. The point a is called the centroid of the Voronoi region $M(a|\alpha)$ with respect to the probability distribution P if

$$a = \frac{\int_{M(a|\alpha)} x dP}{\int_{M(a|\alpha)} dP}.$$

A Voronoi tessellation is called a *centroidal Voronoi tessellation* (CVT) if each of the generators of the tessellation is also the centroid of its own Voronoi region. Let us now state the following proposition (see [GG, GL1]).

Proposition 1.1. *Let α be an optimal set of n-means, $a \in \alpha$, and $M(a|\alpha)$ be the Voronoi region generated by $a \in \alpha$. Then, for every $a \in \alpha$,*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60Exx, 94A34.

Key words and phrases. Optimal quantizers, quantization error, uniform distribution.

(i) $P(M(a|\alpha)) > 0$, *(ii)* $P(\partial M(a|\alpha)) = 0$, *(iii)* $a = E(X : X \in M(a|\alpha))$, *and (iv)* P*-almost surely the set* $\{M(a|\alpha) : a \in \alpha\}$ *forms a Voronoi partition of* $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Notice that for $a \in \alpha$, $a = E(X : X \in M(a|\alpha)) = \frac{1}{P(M(a|\alpha))}\int_{M(a|\alpha)} x dP$, and so we can say that the elements in an optimal set of n-means are the centroids of their own Voronoi regions. For details in this regard one can see [DFG].

In [DR], Dettmann and Roychowdhury considered a uniform distribution on an equilateral triangle, and investigated the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization error for the uniform distribution for all $n \geq 1$. In this paper, in Section 3, we have considered a piecewise uniform distribution with infinitely many pieces on the real line, and in Section 4, we have considered a piecewise uniform distribution with finitely many pieces. For the uniform distribution with infinitely many pieces, in Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we first determine the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization error for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$. Then, we prove Proposition 3.3, Proposition 3.4, Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 3.7, which help us to give the definition Definition 3.8 of a *canonical sequence*. With the help of the canonical sequences, in Theorem 3.14, we give an induction formula to determine the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization error for all $n \geq 2$. We also give a tabular representation of several canonical sequences. But, for the uniform distribution with finitely many pieces, described in Section 4, one can directly determine the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization error for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, induction formula is not needed in this case.

2. Preliminaries

Let P be a piecewise uniform distribution with infinitely many pieces on the real line with probability density function (pdf) $f(x)$ given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} (\frac{3}{2})^n & \text{if } 1 - \frac{1}{3^{n-1}} \leq x \leq 1 - \frac{2}{3^n} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the sequel we will write $J_n := [1 - \frac{1}{3^{n-1}}, 1 - \frac{2}{3^n}]$ and $J_{(n,\infty)} := \bigcup_{j=n+1}^{\infty} J_j$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by $J_n(0)$ and $J_n(1)$, we denote the left and right end points of the interval J_n respectively, i.e., $J_n(0) = 1 - \frac{1}{3^{n-1}}$ and $J_n(1) = 1 - \frac{2}{3^n}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $E(P)$ *and* $V(P)$ *represent the expected value and the variance of a random variable* X *with distribution* P. *Then,* $E(P) = \frac{1}{2}$ *and* $V(P) = \frac{25}{204}$.

Proof. We have

$$E(P) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{J_n} x dP = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ and } V(P) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{J_n} (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 dP = \frac{25}{204},$$

and thus the lemma is yielded. □

Note 2.2. Lemma 2.1 implies that the optimal set of one-mean is $\{\frac{1}{2}\}$ and the corresponding quantization error is $\frac{25}{204}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By $P(\cdot|J_k)$ we denote the restriction of the probability measure P on the interval J_k, i.e., $P(\cdot|J_k) = P(\cdot \cap J_k)/P(J_k)$, in other words, for any Borel subset B of J_k we have $P(B|J_k) = \frac{P(B \cap J_k)}{P(J_k)}$. Similarly, write $P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)})$ to denote the restriction of the probability measure P on $J_{(k,\infty)}$. For a probability distribution Q we denote an optimal set of n-means by $\alpha_n(Q)$. For a Borel subset B of $\mathbb{R}$, by $V(P, \alpha_n(Q), B)$, it is meant the quantization error (or distortion measure) contributed by $\alpha_n(Q)$ on the set B with respect to the probability distribution P. If nothing is mentioned within a parenthesis, by α_n and V_n, it is meant an optimal set of n-means and the nth quantization error with respect to the probability distribution P.

Lemma 2.3. *For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $E(P(\cdot|J_k))$ and $E(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)}))$ denote the expectations of the random variables with distributions $P(\cdot|J_k)$ and $P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)})$ respectively. Then,*

$$E(P(\cdot|J_k)) = 1 - \frac{5}{2}\frac{1}{3^k} \text{ and } E(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)})) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{3^k}.$$

Proof. By the definition of the conditional expectation, we have

$$E(P(\cdot|J_k)) = \int_{J_k} x dP(\cdot|J_k) = \frac{1}{P(J_k)}\int_{J_k} x dP = 2^k \int_{J_k} (\frac{3}{2})^k x dx = 1 - \frac{5}{2}\frac{1}{3^k}, \text{ and}$$

$$E(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)})) = \int_{J_{(k,\infty)}} x dP(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)}) = \frac{1}{P(J_{(k,\infty)})}\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty}\int_{J_j} x dP = 2^k \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty}\int_{J_j} (\frac{3}{2})^j x dx,$$

implying $E(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)})) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{3^k}$. and thus the lemma is yielded. □

Remark 2.4. Lemma 2.3 implies that $\alpha_1(P(\cdot|J_k)) = \{1 - \frac{5}{2}\frac{1}{3^k}\}$, $\alpha_1(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)})) = \{1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{3^k}\}$ and $E(P(\cdot|J_k)) = \frac{1}{2}(J_k(0) + J_k(1))$, and $E(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)})) = \frac{1}{2}(J_{k+1}(1) + J_{k+2}(0))$. $E(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)}))$ can also be calculated in the following way:

$$E(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)})) = \frac{1}{P(J_{(k,\infty)})}\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} P(J_j)E(P(\cdot|J_j)) = 2^k \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^j}(1 - \frac{5}{2}\frac{1}{3^j}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{3^k}.$$

Proposition 2.5. *Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the set $\{1 - \frac{1}{3^{k-1}} + \frac{2i-1}{2n}\frac{1}{3^k} : 1 \le i \le n\}$ is a unique optimal set of n-means for $P(\cdot|J_k)$, i.e., $\alpha_n(P(\cdot|J_k)) = \{1 - \frac{1}{3^{k-1}} + \frac{2i-1}{2n}\frac{1}{3^k} : 1 \le i \le n\}$. Moreover,*

$$V(P, \alpha_n(P(\cdot|J_k)), J_k) = \frac{1}{n^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18^k} \text{ and } V(P, \alpha_1(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)})), J_{(k,\infty)}) = \frac{25}{204}\frac{1}{18^k}.$$

Proof. Since $P(\cdot|J_k)$ is uniformly distributed on J_k, the boundaries of the Voronoi regions of an optimal set of n-means will divide the interval $[1 - \frac{1}{3^{k-1}}, 1 - \frac{2}{3^k}]$ into n equal subintervals, i.e., the boundaries of the Voronoi regions are given by

$$\{1 - \frac{1}{3^{k-1}}, 1 - \frac{1}{3^{k-1}} + \frac{1}{n}\frac{1}{3^k}, 1 - \frac{1}{3^{k-1}} + \frac{2}{n}\frac{1}{3^k}, \cdots, 1 - \frac{1}{3^{k-1}} + \frac{n-1}{n}\frac{1}{3^k}, 1 - \frac{2}{3^k}\}.$$

This implies that an optimal set of n-means for $P(\cdot|J_k)$ is unique, and it consists of the midpoints of the boundaries of the Voronoi regions, i.e., the optimal set of n-means for $P(\cdot|J_k)$ is given by $\{1 - \frac{1}{3^{k-1}} + \frac{2i-1}{2n}\frac{1}{3^k} : 1 \le i \le n\}$ for any $n \ge 1$. Then, the nth quantization error for P due to the set $\alpha_n(P(\cdot|J_k))$ on J_k is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &V(P, \alpha_n(P(\cdot|J_k)), J_k) = n \times (\text{the quantization error in each Voronoi region})\\ &= n\Big(\int_{[1-\frac{1}{3^{k-1}}, 1-\frac{1}{3^{k-1}}+\frac{1}{n}\frac{1}{3^k}]} \Big(\frac{3}{2}\Big)^k \Big(x - (1 - \frac{1}{3^{k-1}} + \frac{1}{2n}\frac{1}{3^k})\Big)^2 dx\Big), \end{aligned}$$

which after simplification implies $V(P, \alpha_n(P(\cdot|J_k)), J_k) = \frac{1}{n^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18^k}$. Again, $E(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)})) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{3^k}$, and so

$$V(P, \alpha_1(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)})), J_{(k,\infty)}) = \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty}\int_{J_n} (x - (1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{3^k}))^2 dP = \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty}\int_{J_n} (\frac{3}{2})^n (x - (1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{3^k}))^2 dP,$$

which upon simplification yields $V(P, \alpha_1(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)})), J_{(k,\infty)}) = \frac{25}{204}\frac{1}{18^k}$. Thus, the proof of the proposition is complete. □

In the following section, we investigate the optimal sets of n-means for $n \ge 2$. Once the optimal sets of n-means are known the corresponding quantization error can easily be calculated.

3. Optimal sets of n-means for $n \geq 2$

In this section, we first determine the optimal sets of n-means for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha := \{a_1, a_2\}$ be an optimal set of two-means such that $a_1 < a_2$. Then, $a_1 = \frac{1}{6}$ and $a_2 = \frac{5}{6}$, and the corresponding quantization error is $V_2 = \frac{7}{612}$.*

Proof. Consider the set of two points $\beta := \{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}\}$. The distortion error due to the set β is given by

$$\int \min_{a\in\beta}(x-a)^2 dP = \int_{J_1}(x-\frac{1}{6})^2 dP + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\int_{J_n}(x-\frac{5}{6})^2 dP = \frac{7}{612}.$$

Since V_2 is the quantization error for two-means, we have $V_2 \leq \frac{7}{612} = 0.0114379$. Let $\alpha := \{a_1 < a_2\}$ be an optimal set of two-means. Since the optimal points are the centroids of their own Voronoi regions, we have $0 < a_1 < a_2 < 1$. If $\frac{1}{3} \leq a_1$, then

$$V_2 \geq \int_{J_1}(x-\frac{1}{3})^2 dP = \frac{1}{54} = 0.0185185 > V_2,$$

which leads to a contradiction. So, we can assume that $a_1 < \frac{1}{3}$. If $a_2 < \frac{2}{3}$, then

$$V_2 \geq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\int_{J_n}(x-\frac{2}{3})^2 dP = \frac{19}{918} = 0.0206972 > V_2,$$

which leads to another contradiction. So, we can assume that $\frac{2}{3} < a_2$. Since $0 < a_1 < \frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{2}{3} < a_2 < 1$, we have $\frac{1}{3} < \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2) < \frac{2}{3}$ yielding the fact that the Voronoi region of a_1 does not contain any point from $J_{(1,\infty)}$ and the Voronoi region a_2 does not contain any point from J_1. This implies that $a_1 = E(X : X \in J_1) = \frac{1}{6}$ and $a_2 = E(X : X \in J_{(1,\infty)}) = \frac{5}{6}$, and the corresponding quantization error is $V_2 = \frac{7}{612}$, which is the lemma. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\alpha := \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ be an optimal set of three-means such that $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$. Then, $a_1 = \frac{1}{6}$, $a_2 = \frac{13}{18}$, $a_3 = \frac{17}{18}$, and the corresponding quantization error is $V_3 = \frac{29}{5508}$.*

Proof. Consider the set of three points $\beta := \{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{13}{18}, \frac{17}{18}\}$. The distortion error due to the set β is given by

$$\int_{J_1}(x-\frac{1}{6})^2 dP + \int_{J_2}(x-\frac{13}{18})^2 dP + \int_{J_{(2,\infty)}}(x-\frac{17}{18})^2 dP = \frac{29}{5508} = 0.00526507. \tag{1}$$

Since V_3 is the quantization error for three-means, we have $V_3 \leq 0.00526507$. Let $\alpha := \{a_1 < a_2 < a_3\}$ be an optimal set of three-means. Since the optimal points are the centroids of their own Voronoi regions we have $0 < a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < 1$. If $\frac{1}{3} \leq a_1$, then

$$V_3 \geq \int_{J_1}(x-\frac{1}{3})^2 dP = \frac{1}{54} = 0.0185185 > V_3,$$

which leads to a contradiction. So, we can assume that $a_1 < \frac{1}{3}$, and then the Voronoi region of a_1 does not contain any point from $J_{(1,\infty)}$. If it does, then we must have $\frac{1}{2}(a_1+a_2) > \frac{2}{3}$ implying $a_2 > \frac{4}{3} - a_1 \geq \frac{4}{3} - \frac{1}{3} = 1$, which gives a contradiction. Thus, we see that $a_1 \leq E(X : X \in J_1) = \frac{1}{6}$. Suppose that $a_2 < \frac{1}{2}$. The following two cases can arise:

Case 1. Voronoi region of a_2 contains points from $J_{(1,\infty)}$.

Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_2+a_3) > \frac{2}{3}$ implying $a_3 > \frac{4}{3} - a_2 \geq \frac{4}{3} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{5}{6}$. First, assume that $\frac{5}{6} < a_3 \leq \frac{31}{36} < J_3(0)$, and then

$$V_3 \geq \int_{J_2}(x-\frac{5}{6})^2 dP + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty}\int_{J_{(2,\infty)}}(x-\frac{31}{36})^2 dP = \frac{481}{88128} = 0.00545797 > V_3,$$

which is a contradiction. Next, assume that $\frac{31}{36} \leq a_3$. Then, $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{2}{3}+\frac{31}{36}) = \frac{55}{72}$. Also, notice that $E(X : X \in J_{(2,\infty)}) = \frac{17}{18}$, and so we have

$$V_3 \geq \int_{[\frac{2}{3},\frac{55}{72}]} (x-\frac{1}{2})^2 dP + \int_{[\frac{55}{72},\frac{7}{9}]} (x-\frac{31}{36})^2 dP + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \int_{J_{(2,\infty)}} (x-\frac{17}{18})^2 dP = \frac{15431}{1410048} = 0.0109436 > V_3,$$

which leads to a contradiction.

Case 2. Voronoi region of a_2 does not contain any point from $J_{(1,\infty)}$.

Then, as $E(X : X \in J_{(1,\infty)}) = \frac{5}{6}$, we have

$$V_3 \geq \int_{J_{(1,\infty)}} (x-\frac{5}{6})^2 dP = \frac{25}{3672} = 0.00680828 > V_3,$$

which yields a contradiction.

Thus, by Case 1 and Case 2, we can assume that $\frac{1}{2} \leq a_2$. We now show that P-almost surely the Voronoi region of a_2 does not contain any point from J_1. For the sake of contradiction assume that the Voronoi region of a_2 contains points from J_1. Then, the distortion error contributed by a_1 and a_2 on the set J_1 is given by

$$\int_{[0,\frac{a_1+a_2}{2}]} (x-a_1)^2 dP + \int_{[\frac{a_1+a_2}{2},\frac{1}{3}]} (x-a_2)^2 dP = \frac{3a_1^3}{8} + \frac{3}{8}a_2a_1^2 - \frac{3}{8}a_2^2a_1 + \frac{a_2^2}{2} - \frac{a_2}{6} - \frac{3a_2^3}{8} + \frac{1}{54},$$

which is minimum when $a_1 = \frac{1}{6}$ and $a_2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Then, notice that $\frac{1}{2}(a_1+a_2) = \frac{1}{3}$, i.e., P-almost surely the Voronoi region of a_2 does not contain any point from J_1. This implies the fact that $a_1 = E(X : X \in J_1) = \frac{1}{6}$ and $\frac{2}{3} \leq a_2$. Suppose that $\frac{7}{9} \leq a_2$. Then, as $\frac{1}{3} \leq \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{6}+\frac{7}{9}) \leq \frac{2}{3}$, we have

$$V_3 \geq \int_{J_1} (x-\frac{1}{6})^2 dP + \int_{J_2} (x-\frac{7}{9})^2 dP = \frac{11}{1944} = 0.00565844 > V_3,$$

which is a contradiction. So, we can assume that $\frac{2}{3} \leq a_2 < \frac{7}{9}$. Then, the Voronoi region of a_2 does not contain any point from $J_{(2,\infty)}$. If it does, then we must have $\frac{1}{2}(a_2+a_3) > \frac{8}{9}$ implying $a_3 > \frac{16}{9} - a_2 \geq \frac{16}{9} - \frac{7}{9} = 1$, which yields a contradiction as $a_3 < 1$. Thus, we have $a_2 = E(X : X \in J_2) = \frac{13}{18}$ and $a_3 = E(X : X \in J_{(2,\infty)}) = \frac{17}{18}$. Moreover, we have seen $a_1 = \frac{1}{6}$. Then, by (1), the quantization error is $V_3 = \frac{29}{5508}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let $n \geq 2$ and let α_n be an optimal set of n-means. Then,*

(i) $\alpha_n \cap J_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1] \neq \emptyset$;

(ii) α_n does not contain any point from the open interval $(J_1(1), J_2(0))$);

(iii) the Voronoi region of any point in $\alpha_n \cap J_1$ does not contain any point from $[J_2(0), 1]$, and the Voronoi region of any point in $\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]$ does not contain any point from J_1.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, the proposition is true for $n = 2, 3$. We now show that the proposition is true for all $n \geq 4$. Consider the set of four points $\beta := \{\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{13}{18}, \frac{17}{18}\}$. The distortion error due to the set β is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \min_{a\in\beta}(x-a)^2 dP \\
&= \int_{[1,\frac{1}{6}]} (x-\frac{1}{12})^2 dP + \int_{[\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{3}]} (x-\frac{1}{4})^2 dP + \int_{J_2} (x-\frac{13}{18})^2 dP + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \int_{J_j} (x-\frac{17}{18})^2 dP = \frac{79}{44064}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since V_n is the quantization error for n-means with $n \geq 4$, we have $V_n \leq V_4 \leq \frac{79}{44064} = 0.00179285$. Let $\alpha_n := \{0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n < 1\}$ be an optimal set of n-means. If $\frac{1}{3} < a_1$, then $V_n \geq \int_{J_1}(x-\frac{1}{3})^2 dP = \frac{1}{54} = 0.0185185 > V_n$, which is a contradiction. If $a_n < J_2(0) = \frac{2}{3}$, then

$$V_n \geq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \int_{J_j} (x-\frac{2}{3})^2 dP = \frac{19}{918} = 0.0206972 > V_n,$$

which leads to another contradiction. Thus, $\alpha_n \cap J_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1] \neq \emptyset$, which completes the proof of (i).

To prove (ii) and (iii), let $j := \max\{i : a_i \leq \frac{1}{3}\}$. Then, $a_j \leq \frac{1}{3}$. We need to show that $\frac{2}{3} \leq a_{j+1}$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that $\frac{1}{3} < a_{j+1} < \frac{2}{3}$. If $\frac{1}{3} < a_{j+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then $\frac{1}{2}(a_{j+1}+a_{j+2}) > \frac{2}{3}$ implying $a_{j+2} > \frac{4}{3} - a_{j+1} \geq \frac{4}{3} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{5}{6} > \frac{7}{9}$ and so $V_n \geq \int_{J_2}(x-\frac{5}{6})^2 dP = \frac{13}{3888} = 0.00334362 > V_n$, which yields a contradiction. Next, suppose that $\frac{1}{2} \leq a_{j+1} < \frac{2}{3}$. Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_j + a_{j+1}) < \frac{1}{3}$ implying $a_j < \frac{2}{3} - a_{j+1} \leq \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{6}$, and so $V_n \geq \int_{[\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}]}(x - \frac{1}{6})^2 dP = \frac{1}{432} = 0.00231481 > V_n$, which gives a contradiction. So, we can assume that $a_j \leq \frac{1}{3} < \frac{2}{3} \leq a_{j+1}$, i.e., α_n does not contain any point from the open interval $(J_1(1), J_2(0)))$, which yields (ii).

If the Voronoi region of a_j contains points from $[J_2(0), 1]$, we must have $\frac{1}{2}(a_j + a_{j+1}) > \frac{2}{3}$ implying $a_{j+1} \geq \frac{4}{3} - a_j = \frac{4}{3} - \frac{1}{3} = 1$, which is a contradiction. Similarly, if the Voronoi region of any point in $\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]$ contains points from J_1, we will arrive at a contradiction. Thus, (iii) is yielded, and this completes the proof of the proposition. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let α_n be an optimal set of n-means for $n \geq 4$. Then, card$(\alpha_n \cap J_1) \geq 2$ and card$(\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) \geq 2$.*

Proof. As shown in the proof of Proposition 3.3, since V_n is the quantization error for n-means for $n \geq 4$, we have $V_n \leq V_4 \leq \frac{79}{44064} = 0.00179285$. By Proposition 3.3, we have card$(\alpha_n \cap J_1) \geq 1$ and card$(\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) \geq 1$. First, we show that card$(\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) \geq 2$. Suppose that card$(\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) = 1$. Then, as $E(P(\cdot|J_{(1,\infty)})) = \frac{5}{6}$, we have

$$V_n \geq \int_{J_{(1,\infty)}} (x - \frac{5}{6})^2 dP = \frac{25}{3672} = 0.00680828 > V_n,$$

which leads to a contradiction. So, we can assume that card$(\alpha_n \cap [S_2(0), 1]) \geq 2$. Next, suppose that card$(\alpha_n \cap J_1) = 1$. Then, as $E(P(\cdot|J_1)) = \frac{1}{6}$, we have

$$V_n \geq \int_{J_1} (x - \frac{1}{6})^2 dP = \frac{1}{216} = 0.00462963 > V_n,$$

which leads to another contradiction. Thus, the proof of the proposition is complete. □

Remark 3.5. From Proposition 3.4, it follows that if α_n is an optimal set of four-means, then card$(\alpha_n \cap J_1) = 2$ and card$(\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) = 2$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let α_n be an optimal set of n-means for P such that card$(\alpha_n \cap [J_{k+1}(0), 1]) \geq 2$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,*

(i) $\alpha_n \cap J_{k+1} \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha_n \cap [J_{k+2}(0), 1] \neq \emptyset$;

(ii) α_n does not contain any point from the open interval $(J_{k+1}(1), J_{k+2}(0))$;

(iii) the Voronoi region of any point in $\alpha_n \cap J_{k+1}$ does not contain any point from $[J_{k+2}(0), 1]$ and the Voronoi region of any point in $\alpha_n \cap [J_{k+2}(0), 1]$ does not contain any point from J_{k+1}.

Proof. To prove the proposition it is enough to prove it for $k = 1$, and then inductively the proposition will follow for all $k \geq 2$. Fix $k = 1$. Suppose that card$(\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) \geq 2$. By Lemma 3.2, it is clear that the proposition is true for $n = 3$. We now prove that the proposition is true for $n \geq 4$. Let $\alpha_n := \{0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n < 1\}$ be an optimal set of n-means for any $n \geq 4$. Let $V(P, \alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1])$ be the quantization error contributed by the set $\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]$ in the region $[J_2(0), 1]$. Set $\beta := \{\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{13}{18}, \frac{17}{18}\}$. The distortion error due to the set $\beta \cap [J_2(0), 1] := \{\frac{13}{18}, \frac{17}{18}\}$ is given by

$$\int_{[J_2(0),1]} \min_{a \in \beta \cap [J_2(0),1]} (x-a)^2 dP = \int_{J_2} (x - \frac{13}{18})^2 dP + \int_{J_{(2,\infty)}} (x - \frac{17}{18})^2 dP = \frac{7}{11016} = 0.000635439,$$

and so $V(P, \alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) \leq 0.000635439$. Suppose that α_n does not contain any point from J_2. Since by Proposition 3.3, the Voronoi region of any point in $\alpha_n \cap J_1$ does not contain any

point from $[J_2(0), 1]$, we have

$$V(P, \alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) \geq \int_{J_2} (x - \frac{7}{9})^2 dP = \frac{1}{972} = 0.00102881 > V(P, \alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]),$$

which leads to a contradiction. So, we can assume that $\alpha_n \cap J_2 \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\alpha_n \cap [J_3(0), 1] = \emptyset$. Then, $a_n < J_3(0) = \frac{8}{9}$, and so

$$V(P, \alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) \geq \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \int_{J_j} (x - J_3(0))^2 dP = \frac{19}{16524} = 0.00114984 > V(P, \alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]),$$

which gives another contradiction. Therefore, $\alpha_n \cap [J_3(0), 1] \neq \emptyset$, i.e., (i) is proved.

To prove (ii) we proceed as follows: If $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) = 2$, then as Lemma 3.1, it can be proved that $\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1] = \{E(P(\cdot|J_2)), E(P(\cdot|J_{(2,\infty)}))\} = \{\frac{13}{18}, \frac{17}{18}\}$. Since $\frac{13}{18} \in J_2$ and $J_3(1) = \frac{8}{9} < \frac{17}{18}$, in this case we see that $\alpha_n \cap (J_2(1), J_3(0)) = \emptyset$. If $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) = 3$, then as Lemma 3.2, it can be proved that

$$\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1] = \{E(P(\cdot|J_2)), E(P(\cdot|J_3)), E(P(\cdot|J_{(3,\infty)}))\} = \{\frac{13}{18}, \frac{49}{54}, \frac{53}{54}\}$$

implying the fact that $\alpha_n \cap (J_2(1), J_3(0)) = \emptyset$. We now assume that $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) = 4$, then as mentioned in Remark 3.5, in this case, we can also prove that $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap J_2) = 2$ and $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap [J_3(0), 1]) = 2$, in fact, we have $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) = \{\frac{25}{36}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{49}{54}, \frac{53}{54}\}$ implying $\alpha_n \cap (J_2(1), J_3(0)) = \emptyset$, and the corresponding quantization error, by Proposition 2.5, is given by

$$V(P, \alpha_2(P(\cdot|J_2)), J_2) + V(P, \alpha_1(P(\cdot|J_3)), J_3) + V(P, \{E(P(\cdot|J_{(3,\infty)}))\}, J_{(3,\infty)}) = \frac{79}{793152}.$$

Next, assume that $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) \geq 4$. Then, we must have $V(P, \alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) \leq \frac{79}{793152} = 0.0000996026$. Let $j := \max\{i : a_i \leq J_2(1) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ implying $a_j \leq \frac{7}{9} = J_2(1)$. Suppose that $\frac{7}{9} < a_{j+1} < \frac{8}{9}$. The following cases can arise:

Case 1. $\frac{7}{9} < a_{j+1} < \frac{5}{6}$.

Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_{j+1} + a_{j+2}) > \frac{8}{9}$ implying $a_{j+2} > \frac{16}{9} - a_{j+1} \geq \frac{16}{9} - \frac{5}{6} = \frac{17}{18} > J_3(1)$, and so

$$V(P, \alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) \geq \int_{J_3} (x - \frac{17}{18})^2 dP = \frac{13}{69984} = 0.000185757 > V(P, \alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]),$$

which is contradiction.

Case 2. $\frac{5}{6} \leq a_{j+1} < \frac{8}{9}$.

Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_j + a_{j+1}) < \frac{7}{9}$ implying $a_j < \frac{14}{9} - a_{j+1} \leq \frac{14}{9} - \frac{5}{6} = \frac{13}{18}$, and so

$$V(P, \alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]) \geq \int_{[\frac{13}{18}, \frac{7}{9}]} (x - \frac{13}{18})^2 dP = \frac{1}{7776} = 0.000128601 > V(P, \alpha_n \cap [J_2(0), 1]),$$

which gives a contradiction.

Thus, $\alpha_n \cap (J_2(1), J_3(0)) = \emptyset$, which completes the proof of (ii). The proof of (iii) is similar to the proof of (iii) in Proposition 3.3. Hence, the proposition is yielded. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let α_n be an optimal set of n-means for $n \geq 2$. Then, there exists a positive integer $k := k(n)$ such that $\alpha_n \cap J_j \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$, and $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap [J_{k+1}(0), 1]) = 1$. Write $\alpha_{n,j} := \alpha_n \cap J_j$ and $n_j := \text{card}(\alpha_{n,j})$. Then, $\alpha_{n,j} = \alpha_{n_j}(P(\cdot|J_j))$ and $n = \sum_{j=1}^{k} n_j + 1$, with*

$$V_n = \sum_{j=1}^{k} V(P, \alpha_{n_j}(P(\cdot|J_j)), J_j) + V(P, \alpha_1(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)})), J_{(k,\infty)}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n_j^2} \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{18^k} + \frac{25}{204} \frac{1}{18^k}.$$

Proof. Proposition 3.3 says that if α_n is an optimal set of n-means for $n \geq 2$, then $\alpha_n \cap J_1 \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha_n \cap [S_2(0), 1] \neq \emptyset$, and α_n does not contain any point from the open interval $(S_1(1), S_2(0))$. Proposition 3.6 says that if $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap [S_{k+1}(0), 1]) \geq 2$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\alpha_n \cap J_{k+1} \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha_n \cap [S_{k+1}(0), 1]) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, α_n does not take any point from the open interval $(S_{k+1}(1), S_{k+2}(0))$. Thus, by Induction Principle, we can say that if α_n is an optimal set of n-means for $n \geq 2$, then there exists a positive integer k such that $\alpha_n \cap J_j \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap [S_{k+1}(0), 1]) = 1$.

For a given $n \geq 2$, write $\alpha_{n,j} := \alpha_n \cap J_j$ and $n_j := \text{card}(\alpha_{n,j})$. Since the Voronoi region of any point in $\alpha_{n,j}$ does not contain any point from $J_1, J_2, \cdots, J_{j-1}$, and $J_{(j,\infty)}$, we must have $\alpha_{n,j} = \alpha_{n_j}(P(\cdot|J_j))$. Again, $\alpha_{n,j}$ are disjoint for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and α_n does not contain any point from the open intervals $(J_\ell(1), J_{\ell+1}(0))$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq k$. This implies the fact that $\alpha_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_{n,j} \cup \{\alpha_1(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)}))\}$ and $n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k + 1$, and so

$$V_n = \int \min_{a\in\alpha_{n,j}} (x-a)^2 dP = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{J_j} \min_{a\in\alpha_{n,j}} (x-a)^2 dP + \int_{J_{(k,\infty)}} (x - \alpha_1(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)})))^2 dP$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k} V(P, \alpha_{n_j}(P(\cdot|J_j)), J_j) + V(P, \alpha_1(P(\cdot|J_{(k,\infty)})), J_{(k,\infty)}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n_j^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18^k} + \frac{25}{204}\frac{1}{18^k}.$$

Thus, the proof of the proposition is complete. □

Definition 3.8. Let n_j for $1 \leq j \leq k$ be the positive integers as defined in Proposition 3.7. Then, we call the sequence $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ a *canonical sequence of order* n or just a *canonical sequence*. Notice that once a canonical sequence of order n is known the corresponding optimal set of n-means can easily be determined and vice versa. Let $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ be a canonical sequence and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq m \leq k$. Then, the sequence $\{n_m, n_{m+1}, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ is called a *subblock* of the canonical sequence $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$.

The canonical sequence has the following property.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ be a canonical sequence for $k \geq 2$. Then, $n_1 > n_2 > n_3 > \cdots > n_{k-1} \geq n_k = 1$.*

Proof. Let α_n be an optimal set of n-means, and $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ be the canonical sequence associated with α_n. Take any $1 \leq i < k$. To prove the lemma it is enough to prove that $n_i > n_{i+1}$. Let $n_i + n_{i+1} = m$. Notice that m is constant if i remains fixed. The distortion error in the intervals J_i and J_{i+1} is given by

$$V(P, \alpha_{n_i}(P(\cdot|J_i)), J_i) + V(P, \alpha_{n_{i+1}}(P(\cdot|J_{i+1})), J_{i+1}) = \frac{1}{12}\Big(\frac{1}{n_i^2}\frac{1}{18^i} + \frac{1}{(m-n_i)^2}\frac{1}{18^{i+1}}\Big)$$

$$= \frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18^{i+1}}\Big(\frac{18m^2 - 36mn_i + 19n_1^2}{n_i^2(m-n_i)^2}\Big), \tag{2}$$

which is minimum if $n_i \approx \frac{1}{19}(18\,m - 3\sqrt[3]{12}\,m + \sqrt[3]{18}\,m)$, where for any positive real number x, by $n_i \approx x$ it is meant that n_i is the positive integer nearest to x. Then, notice that $m = 2$ implies $n_i = 1$, and if $m \geq 3$ then $n_i > \frac{m}{2}$ yielding $n_i > n_{i+1}$. By Proposition 3.7, it follows that $n_k = 1$, and thus, the lemma is yielded. □

Remark 3.10. From Table 1, we see that $\{6, 3, 1, 1\}$ is a canonical sequence, where $n_1 = 6$, $n_2 = 3$ and $n_3 = 1$. Take $m = n_1 + n_2 = 9$, then $\frac{1}{19}(18\,m - 3\sqrt[3]{12}\,m + \sqrt[3]{18}\,m) = 6.51432 \approx 7 \neq n_1$. Thus, we see that the canonical sequence $\{6, 3, 1, 1\}$ violates the statement $n_i \approx \frac{1}{19}(18\,m - 3\sqrt[3]{12}\,m + \sqrt[3]{18}\,m)$ as mentioned in the proof of Lemma 3.9. But, such a canonical sequence does not occur frequently, and it does not violate the statement of Lemma 3.9. Putting $i = 1$ and $m = 9$ in the expression (2), we see that it is minimum if $n_1 = 6$, which is the value that occurs

in the canonical sequence $\{6, 3, 1, 1\}$. Hence, if m and i are known, using the expression (2) one can exactly determine n_i.

We now give the following example.

Example 3.11. By Lemma 3.2, for $n = 3$, we have $\alpha_3 = \{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{13}{18}, \frac{17}{18}\}$ implying $\alpha_{3,1} = \{\frac{1}{6}\}$ and $\alpha_{3,2} = \{\frac{13}{18}\}$, and $\alpha_1(P(\cdot|J_{(2,\infty)})) = \{\frac{17}{18}\}$. Here the canonical sequence is $\{1, 1, 1\}$. By Proposition 3.7,

$$V_3 = V(P, \alpha_{3,1}, J_1) + V(P, \alpha_{3,2}, J_2) + V(P, \alpha_1(P(\cdot|J_{(2,\infty)})), J_{(2,\infty)}),$$

and so by Proposition 2.5, $V_3 = \frac{1}{1^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18} + \frac{1}{1^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18^2} + \frac{25}{204}\frac{1}{18^2} = \frac{29}{5508}$, which is the quantization error for three-means obtained in Lemma 3.2.

The following lemma gives some more properties of canonical sequences.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$. Then, (i) a canonical sequence of order n is unique, and (ii) each subblock of a canonical sequence is also a canonical sequence.*

Proof. Let $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ be a canonical sequence of order n. For the sake of contradiction assume that $\{n'_1, n'_2, \cdots, n'_k, 1\}$ is another canonical sequence of order n. Then, we must have indices i_1, i_2, i_3 such that $n_{i_2} \neq n'_{i_2}$, but $n_{i_1} + n_{i_2} > n'_{i_1} + n'_{i_2}$ and $n_{i_2} + n_{i_3} < n'_{i_2} + n'_{i_3}$. Putting $m = n_{i_1} + n_{i_2}$ in the expression similar to (2), we can uniquely determine n_{i_1} and n_{i_2}. Similarly, putting $m = n'_{i_1} + n'_{i_2}$, we can uniquely determine n'_{i_1} and n'_{i_2}. Since $n_{i_1} + n_{i_2} > n'_{i_1} + n'_{i_2}$, we will have $n_{i_1} \geq n'_{i_1}$ and $n_{i_2} \geq n'_{i_2}$. Similarly, $n_{i_2} + n_{i_3} < n'_{i_2} + n'_{i_3}$ implies $n_{i_2} \leq n'_{i_2}$ and $n_{i_3} \leq n'_{i_3}$. Thus, we see that $n_{i_2} \geq n'_{i_2}$ and $n_{i_2} \leq n'_{i_2}$ yield a contradiction to our assumption that $n_{i_2} \neq n'_{i_2}$. Therefore, we can assume that the canonical sequence of order n is unique, which completes the proof of (i). To prove (ii), we proceed as follows: Let $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ be the canonical sequence of order n. It is enough to show that $\{n_2, n_3, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ is the canonical sequence of order $n - n_1$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that $\{n'_2, n'_3, \cdots, n'_k, 1\}$ is the canonical sequence of order $n - n_1$. Since a canonical sequence of a given order is unique, if we calculate the quantization error, we must have

$$\sum_{j=2}^{k} \frac{1}{n_j^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18^j} > \sum_{j=2}^{k} \frac{1}{n_j'^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18^j} \text{ implying } \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n_j^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18^j} > \frac{1}{n_1^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18} + \sum_{j=2}^{k} \frac{1}{n_j'^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18^j},$$

which contradicts the fact that $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ is the canonical sequence of order n. Hence, every subblock of a canonical sequence is also a canonical sequence. □

Lemma 3.13. *Let $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ be the canonical sequence of order n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$. Then, the canonical sequence of order $(n + 1)$ will be either $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_{i-2}, n_{i-1}, n_i + 1, n_{i+1}, \cdots, n_{k-1}, n_k, 1\}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, or $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k, 1, 1\}$.*

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction. By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, the canonical sequences of order two and three are $\{1, 1\}$ and $\{1, 1, 1\}$ respectively. Again, by Remark 3.5, it can be seen that the canonical sequence of order four is $\{2, 1, 1\}$. Thus, we see that the lemma is true for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$. Let $N \geq 4$ be a positive integer such that the lemma is true for all positive integers n, where $2 \leq n \leq N - 1$. We will show that the lemma is also true for $n = N$. Let $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ be the canonical sequence of order N implying that the optimal set α_N contains $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k$ elements from $J_1 \cup J_2 \cup \cdots \cup J_k$ and one element from $J_{(k,\infty)}$. Then, the optimal set α_{N+1} contains exactly one or two elements from $J_{(k,\infty)}$. Assume that α_{N+1} contains two elements from $J_{(k,\infty)}$. Since $\{1, 1\}$ is the only subblock of order two, the canonical sequence of order $(N + 1)$ is $\{m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_k, 1, 1\}$. Again, as $m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_k = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k = N - 1$ and the canonical sequence of order N is unique, we must have $m_1 = n_1$, $m_2 = n_2$, $\cdots$, $m_k = n_k$. Thus, in this case the lemma is true. Now, assume that α_{N+1} contains only one element from $J_{(k,\infty)}$. In this case the canonical sequence of order $(N+1)$ is $\{m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_k, 1\}$. We need to show that $m_j = n_j + 1$ for exactly

n	canonical sequence	n	canonical sequence	n	canonical sequence
2	{1, 1}	21	{12, 5, 2, 1, 1}	40	{24, 9, 4, 1, 1, 1}
3	{1, 1, 1}	22	{13, 5, 2, 1, 1}	41	{25, 9, 4, 1, 1, 1}
4	{2, 1, 1}	23	{14, 5, 2, 1, 1}	42	{25, 10, 4, 1, 1, 1}
5	{3, 1, 1}	24	{14, 6, 2, 1, 1}	43	{25, 10, 4, 2, 1, 1}
6	{3, 1, 1, 1}	25	{15, 6, 2, 1, 1}	44	{26, 10, 4, 2, 1, 1}
7	{4, 1, 1, 1}	26	{16, 6, 2, 1, 1}	45	{27, 10, 4, 2, 1, 1}
8	{4, 2, 1, 1}	27	{17, 6, 2, 1, 1}	46	{27, 11, 4, 2, 1, 1}
9	{5, 2, 1, 1}	28	{17, 6, 3, 1, 1}	47	{28, 11, 4, 2, 1, 1}
10	{6, 2, 1, 1}	29	{17, 7, 3, 1, 1}	48	{29, 11, 4, 2, 1, 1}
11	{6, 3, 1, 1}	30	{18, 7, 3, 1, 1}	49	{30, 11, 4, 2, 1, 1}
12	{7, 3, 1, 1}	31	{19, 7, 3, 1, 1}	50	{30, 12, 4, 2, 1, 1}
13	{8, 3, 1, 1}	32	{20, 7, 3, 1, 1}	51	{31, 12, 4, 2, 1, 1}
14	{8, 3, 1, 1, 1}	33	{20, 8, 3, 1, 1}	52	{31, 12, 5, 2, 1, 1}
15	{9, 3, 1, 1, 1}	34	{21, 8, 3, 1, 1}	53	{32, 12, 5, 2, 1, 1}
16	{9, 4, 1, 1, 1}	35	{21, 8, 3, 1, 1, 1}	54	{33, 12, 5, 2, 1, 1}
17	{10, 4, 1, 1, 1}	36	{22, 8, 3, 1, 1, 1}	55	{33, 13, 5, 2, 1, 1}
18	{ 10, 4, 2, 1, 1}	37	{22, 9, 3, 1, 1, 1}	56	{34, 13, 5, 2, 1, 1}
19	{11, 4, 2, 1, 1}	38	{23, 9, 3, 1, 1, 1}	57	{35, 13, 5, 2, 1, 1}
20	{ 12, 4, 2, 1, 1}	39	{24, 9, 3, 1, 1, 1}	58	{35, 14, 5, 2, 1, 1}

TABLE 1. List of canonical sequences for the optimal sets α_n in the range $2 \leq n \leq 58$.

one $1 \leq j \leq k$, and $m_j = n_j$ for all other $1 \leq j \leq k$. First, assume that $m_1 = n_1$. Then, both $\{m_2, m_3, \cdots, m_k, 1\}$ and $\{n_2, n_3, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ are canonical sequences of order $N + 1 - m_1$ and $N - n_1$ respectively. Since $(N+1-m_1)-(N-n_1) = 1$, and we assumed that the lemma is true for all positive integers $n \leq N-1$, we have $m_j = n_j + 1$ for exactly one $2 \leq j \leq k$, and $m_j = n_j$ for all other $2 \leq j \leq k$, which combined with $m_1 = n_1$ yields that the lemma is true for $n = N$. If $m_1 = n_1 + 1$, then as both $\{m_2, m_3, \cdots, m_k, 1\}$ and $\{n_2, n_3, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ are canonical sequences of the same order, we have $m_2 = n_2, m_3 = n_3, \cdots, m_k = n_k$, which combined with $m_1 = n_1 + 1$ yields that the lemma is true for $n = N$. We now show that m_1 can not be any integer other than n_1 or $n_1 + 1$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that $m_1 = n_1 + k$ for some $k \geq 2$. Then, $\{m_2, \cdots, m_k, 1\}$ is the canonical sequence of order $N+1-m_1 = N+1-(n_1+k) = N-n_1-(k-1)$, and $\{n_2, n_3, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ is the canonical sequence of order $N - n_1$. Since we assumed that the lemma is true for all positive integers $n \leq N-1$, we must have $n_j > m_j$ for at least one $2 \leq j \leq k$. Without any loss of generality, assume that $n_2 > m_2$ and then $n_2 = m_2 + \ell$ for some $1 \leq \ell \leq (k-1)$, and so $m_1 + m_2 = n_1 + n_2 + (k - \ell) > n_1 + n_2$, which by an expression similar to (2) implies that $m_1 \geq n_1$ and $m_2 \geq n_2$ yielding a contradiction. Similarly, we can show that if $m_1 = n_1 - k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a contradiction arises. Thus, the lemma is true for $n = N$ if it is true for all positive integers $n \leq N - 1$. Hence, by the principle of Mathematical Induction the proof of the lemma is complete. □

We are now ready to prove to state and prove the following theorem which gives the optimal set of $(n+1)$-means whenever the optimal set of n-means is known.

Theorem 3.14. *Let $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ be the canonical sequence for an optimal set of n-means for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Construct the sequence $\{A(i)\}_{i=1}^{k}$ such that $A(i) = \{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_{i-1}, n_i +$*

$1, n_{i+1}, \cdots, n_k\}$ *for* $1 \le i \le k$. *For* $1 \le i \le k$, *set*

$$V(A(i)) := \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{k} \frac{1}{n_j^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18^j} + \frac{1}{(n_i+1)^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18^j} + \frac{25}{204}\frac{1}{18^k}, \text{ and}$$

$$V(\infty) := \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n_j^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18^j} + \frac{1}{1^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18^{k+1}} + \frac{25}{204}\frac{1}{18^{k+1}}.$$

Write $V_{\min} := \min\{\min\{V(A(j)) : 1 \le j \le k\}, V(\infty)\}$. *If* $V_{\min} := V(A(m))$ *for some* $1 \le m \le k$, *then the sequence* $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_{m-1}, n_m + 1, n_{m+1}, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ *is the canonical sequence which gives an optimal set of* $(n+1)$*-means. If* $V_{\min} = V(\infty)$, *then* $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k, 1, 1\}$ *is the canonical sequence which gives an optimal set of* $(n+1)$*-means.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we see that $\{1, 1\}$ is the canonical sequence for an optimal set of two-means and $\{1, 1, 1\}$ is the canonical sequence for an optimal set of three-means. In fact, for the canonical sequence $\{1, 1\}$, we have $V(A(1)) = \frac{1}{2^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18} + \frac{25}{204}\frac{1}{18} = \frac{13}{1632}$ and $V(\infty) = \frac{1}{1^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18} + \frac{1}{1^2}\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{18^2} + \frac{25}{204}\frac{1}{18^2} = \frac{29}{5508}$ implying $V(\infty) < V(A(1))$. Thus, we see that the theorem is true if $k = 1$. Let us now assume that $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k, 1\}$ is the canonical sequence for an optimal set of n-means for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, using the hypothesis of the theorem, and Lemma 3.13, the proof of the theorem is complete. □

Remark 3.15. Using Theorem 3.14, we obtain Table 1 which gives a list of canonical sequences of order n for $2 \le n \le 58$. Notice that for any positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \ge 2$, to obtain the canonical sequence of order $(n+1)$ one needs to know the canonical sequence of order n. A closed formula to obtain the canonical sequence of any order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is still not known. On the other hand, in the following section, we show that for a piecewise uniform distribution with finitely many pieces we can easily determine the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization error for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see Note 4.10.

4. Optimal quantization for uniform distribution with finitely many pieces

Most of the notations and basic definitions used in this section are same as they are described in Section 2. Write $J_1 = [0, \frac{1}{3}]$, $J_2 = [\frac{2}{3}, \frac{7}{9}]$ and $J_3 = [\frac{8}{9}, 1]$. Let P be a piecewise uniform distribution on the real line with probability density function (pdf) $f(x)$ given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2} & \text{if } x \in J_1, \\ \frac{9}{4} & \text{if } x \in J_2 \cup J_3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $E(P)$ *and* $V(P)$ *represent the expected value and the variance of a random variable* X *with distribution* P. *Then,* $E(P) = \frac{1}{2}$ *and* $V(P) = \frac{119}{972}$.

Proof. We have

$$E(P) = \int x dP = \int_{J_1} \frac{3x}{2}\, dx + \int_{J_2} \frac{9x}{4}\, dx + \int_{J_3} \frac{9x}{4}\, dx = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ and}$$

$$V(P) = \int (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 dP = \int_{J_1} \frac{3}{2}(x - \frac{1}{2})^2 dx + \int_{J_2} \frac{9}{4}(x - \frac{1}{2})^2\, dx + \int_{J_3} \frac{9}{4}(x - \frac{1}{2})^2\, dx = \frac{119}{972},$$

and thus the lemma is yielded. □

Lemma 4.2. *For* $k = 1, 2, 3$, *let* $E(P(\cdot|J_k))$ *denote the expectations of the random variable* X *with distributions* $P(\cdot|J_k)$. *Then,*

$$E(P(\cdot|J_1)) = \frac{1}{6},\ E(P(\cdot|J_2)) = \frac{13}{18} \text{ and } E(P(\cdot|J_3)) = \frac{17}{18}.$$

Proof. By the definition of the conditional expectation, we have

$$E(P(\cdot|J_1)) = \int_{J_1} xdP(\cdot|J_1) = \frac{1}{P(J_1)}\int_{J_1} xdP = 2\int_{J_1}\frac{3}{2}xdx = \frac{1}{6}, \text{ and similarly}$$

we can obtain $E(P(\cdot|J_2)) = \frac{13}{18}$ and $E(P(\cdot|J_3)) = \frac{17}{18}$. Hence, the lemma is yielded. □

The following proposition is similar to Proposition 2.5.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the set $\{\frac{2i-1}{2n}\frac{1}{3} : 1 \le i \le n\}$ is a unique optimal set of n-means for $P(\cdot|J_1)$, i.e., $\alpha_n(P(\cdot|J_1)) = \{\frac{2i-1}{2n}\frac{1}{3} : 1 \le i \le n\}$. Similarly, $\alpha_n(P(\cdot|J_2)) = \{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{2i-1}{2n}\frac{1}{9} : 1 \le i \le n\}$ and $\alpha_n(P(\cdot|J_3)) = \{\frac{8}{9} + \frac{2i-1}{2n}\frac{1}{9} : 1 \le i \le n\}$. Moreover,*

$$V(P, \alpha_n(P(\cdot|J_1)), J_1) = \frac{1}{216n^2} \text{ and } V(P, \alpha_n(P(\cdot|J_2)), J_2) = V(P, \alpha_n(P(\cdot|J_3)), J_3) = \frac{1}{3888n^2}.$$

The following two lemmas are similar to Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\alpha := \{a_1, a_2\}$ be an optimal set of two-means such that $a_1 < a_2$. Then, $a_1 = \frac{1}{6}$ and $a_2 = \frac{5}{6}$, and the corresponding quantization error is $V_2 = \frac{11}{972}$.*

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\alpha := \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ be an optimal set of three-means such that $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$. Then, $a_1 = \frac{1}{6}$, $a_2 = \frac{13}{18}$, $a_3 = \frac{17}{18}$, and the corresponding quantization error is $V_3 = \frac{5}{972}$.*

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\alpha := \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ be an optimal set of four-means such that $a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4$. Then, $a_1 = \frac{1}{12}$, $a_2 = \frac{1}{4}$, $a_2 = \frac{13}{18}$, $a_3 = \frac{17}{18}$, and the corresponding quantization error is $V_4 = \frac{13}{7776}$.*

Proof. Consider the set of four points $\beta := \{\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{13}{18}, \frac{17}{18}\}$. The distortion error due to the set β is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\int \min_{a\in\beta}(x-a)^2 dP\\ &= \int_{[0,\frac{1}{6}]}(x-\frac{1}{12})^2 dP + \int_{[\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{3}]}(x-\frac{1}{4})^2 dP + \int_{J_2}(x-\frac{13}{18})^2 dP + \int_{J_3}(x-\frac{17}{18})^2 dP = \frac{13}{7776},\end{aligned}$$

implying $V_4 \le \frac{13}{7776} = 0.00167181$. Let $\alpha = \{a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4\}$ be an optimal set of four-means. Since optimal points are the centroids of their own Voronoi regions, we have $0 < a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4 < 1$. If $\frac{1}{3} \le a_1$, then

$$V_4 \ge \int_{J_1}(x-\frac{1}{3})^2 dP = \frac{1}{54} = 0.0185185 > V_4,$$

which leads to a contradiction, so we can assume that $a_1 < \frac{1}{3}$. Suppose that $\frac{1}{3} \le a_2$. Then, the distortion error contributed by a_1 and a_2 on the set J_1 is given by

$$\int_{[0,\frac{1}{2}(a_1+\frac{1}{3})]}(x-a_1)^2 dP + \int_{[\frac{1}{2}(a_1+\frac{1}{3}),\frac{1}{3}]}(x-\frac{1}{3})^2 dP = \frac{1}{216}\left(81a_1^3 + 27a_1^2 - 9a_1 + 1\right),$$

which is minimum when $a_1 = \frac{1}{6}$, and the minimum value is $\frac{1}{486} = 0.00205761 > V_4$, which is a contradiction. So, we can assume that $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \frac{1}{3}$. If $a_4 \le \frac{5}{6}$, then

$$V_4 \ge \int_{J_3}(x-\frac{5}{6})^2 dP = \frac{13}{3888} = 0.00334362 > V_4,$$

which leads to a contradiction. So, we can assume that $\frac{5}{6} < a_4$. Suppose that $a_3 \le \frac{1}{2}$. Then, $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{5}{6}) = \frac{2}{3}$ implying

$$V_4 \ge \int_{J_2}(x-\frac{5}{6})^2 dP = \frac{13}{3888} = 0.00334362 > V_4,$$

which is a contradiction. So, we can assume that $\frac{1}{2} < a_3$. Now, if the Voronoi region of a_3 contains points from J_1, we must have $\frac{1}{2}(a_2 + a_3) < \frac{1}{3}$ implying $a_2 < \frac{2}{3} - a_3 \leq \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{6}$, and so

$$V_4 \geq \int_{[\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{3}]} (x - \frac{1}{6})^2 dP = \frac{1}{432} = 0.00231481 > V_4,$$

which yields a contradiction. Thus, we can assume that the Voronoi region of a_3 does not contain any point from J_1 implying $\frac{2}{3} < a_3$. If $\frac{7}{9} < a_3$, then

$$V_4 \geq V(P, \alpha_2(P(\cdot|J_1), J_1), J_1) + \int_{J_2} (x - \frac{7}{9})^2 dP = \frac{17}{7776} = 0.00218621 > V_4,$$

which gives a contradiction. So, we can assume that $\frac{2}{3} < a_3 < \frac{7}{9}$. We now show that the Voronoi region of a_4 does not contain any point from J_2. If it does, then

$$\int_{[\frac{2}{3},\frac{1}{2}(a_3+\frac{5}{6})]} (x - a_3)^2 dP + \int_{[\frac{1}{2}(a_3+\frac{5}{6}),\frac{2}{3}]} (x - \frac{5}{6})^2 dP = \frac{9a_3^3}{16} - \frac{33a_3^2}{32} + \frac{39a_3}{64} - \frac{3541}{31104},$$

which is minimum if $a_3 = \frac{13}{18}$. Notice that $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{13}{18} + \frac{5}{6}) = \frac{7}{9}$ yielding the fact that P-almost surely the Voronoi region of a_4 does not contain any point from J_2 implying $\frac{8}{9} < a_4$. Thus, we see that $a_1 = \frac{1}{12}$, $a_2 = \frac{1}{4}$, $a_3 = \frac{13}{18}$ and $a_4 = \frac{17}{18}$ and the corresponding quantization error is given by $V_4 = \frac{13}{7776}$, which completes the proof of the lemma. □

Proposition 4.7. *Let $n \geq 3$ and let α_n be an optimal set of n-means. Then,*

(i) $\alpha_n \cap J_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 3$;

(ii) α_n does not contain any point from the open intervals $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ and $(\frac{7}{9}, \frac{8}{9})$;

(iii) the Voronoi region of any point in $\alpha_n \cap J_i$ does not contain any point from J_j for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6, it follows that the proposition is true for $n = 3, 4$. We now prove that the proposition is true for $n \geq 5$. Consider the set of five points $\beta := \{\frac{1}{18}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{18}, \frac{13}{18}, \frac{17}{18}\}$. The distortion error due to the set β is given by

$$\int \min_{a\in\beta}(x - a)^2 dP = \int_{J_1} \min_{a\in\{\frac{1}{18},\frac{1}{6},\frac{5}{18}\}} (x - a)^2 dP + \int_{J_2} (x - \frac{13}{18})^2 dP + \int_{J_3} (x - \frac{17}{18})^2 dP = \frac{1}{972},$$

implying $V_5 \leq \frac{1}{972} = 0.00102881$. Since V_n is the quantization error for n-means for all $n \geq 5$, we have $V_n \leq V_5 \leq 0.00102881$. Let $\alpha = \{a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4 < a_5\}$ be an optimal set of five-means. Since optimal points are the centroids of their own Voronoi regions, we have $0 < a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4 < a_5 < 1$. If $\frac{1}{3} \leq a_1$, then

$$V_n \geq \int_{J_1} (x - \frac{1}{3})^2 dP = \frac{1}{54} = 0.0185185 > V_n,$$

which leads to a contradiction, so we can assume that $a_1 < \frac{1}{3}$, i.e., $\alpha_n \cap J_1 \neq \emptyset$. If $a_n \leq \frac{8}{9}$, then

$$V_n \geq \int_{J_2} \min_{a\in\alpha}(x - a)^2 dP + \int_{J_3} (x - \frac{8}{9})^2 dP > \int_{J_3} (x - \frac{8}{9})^2 dP = \frac{1}{972} \geq V_n,$$

which is a contradiction. So, $\frac{8}{9} < a_n$ yielding $\alpha_n \cap J_3 \neq \emptyset$. Let $j = \max\{i : a_i < \frac{2}{3}\}$. Then, $a_j < \frac{2}{3}$. We now show that α_n does not contain any point from the open interval $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$. For the sake of contradiction assume that α_n contain a point from the open interval $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$. The following two cases can arise:

Case 1. $\frac{1}{2} \leq a_j < \frac{2}{3}$.

Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_{j-1} + a_j) < \frac{1}{3}$ implying $a_{j-1} < \frac{2}{3} - a_j \leq \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{6}$, and so

$$V_n \geq \int_{[\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{3}]} (x - \frac{1}{6})^2 dP = \frac{1}{432} = 0.00231481 > V_n,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $\frac{1}{3} < a_j \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_j + a_{j+1}) > \frac{2}{3}$ implying $a_{j+1} > \frac{4}{3} - a_j \geq \frac{4}{3} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{5}{6} > \frac{7}{9}$, and so

$$V_n \geq \int_{J_2} (x - \frac{5}{6})^2 dP = \frac{13}{3888} = 0.00334362 > V_n,$$

which leads to a contradiction.

By Case 1 and Case 2, we can assume that α_n does not contain any point from the open interval $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$. If $\frac{7}{9} \leq a_{j+1}$, then

$$V_n \geq \int_{J_1} \min_{a\in\alpha_n}(x-a)^2 dP + \int_{J_2} (x - \frac{7}{9})^2 dP > \int_{J_2} (x - \frac{7}{9})^2 dP = \frac{1}{972} \geq V_n,$$

which is a contradiction. So, we can assume that $a_{j+1} < \frac{7}{9}$ implying $\alpha_n \cap J_2 \neq \emptyset$. If the Voronoi region of any point from $\alpha_n \cap J_2$ contains points from J_1, then we must have $\frac{1}{2}(a_j + a_{j+1}) < \frac{1}{3}$ implying $a_j < \frac{2}{3} - a_{j+1} \leq \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} = 0$, which is a contradiction. If the Voronoi region of any point from $\alpha_n \cap J_1$ contains points from J_2, then we must have $\frac{1}{2}(a_j + a_{j+1}) > \frac{2}{3}$ implying $a_{j+1} > \frac{4}{3} - a_j \geq \frac{4}{3} - \frac{1}{3} = 1$, which gives another contradiction. Hence, the Voronoi region of any point from $\alpha_n \cap J_2$ does not contain any point from J_1, and the Voronoi region of any point from $\alpha_n \cap J_1$ does not contain any point from J_2.

We now show that α_n does not contain any point from the open interval $(\frac{7}{9}, \frac{8}{9})$. Since α_n does not contain any point from $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ and the Voronoi region of any point from $\alpha_n \cap J_1$ does not contain any point from $[\frac{2}{3}, 1]$, we have

$$\int_{[\frac{2}{3},1]} \min_{a\in\alpha_n}(x-a)^2 dP = \int_{[\frac{2}{3},1]} \min_{a\in\alpha_n\cap[\frac{2}{3},1]}(x-a)^2 dP.$$

Let $V(P, \alpha_n \cap [\frac{2}{3}, 1])$ be the quantization error contributed by the set $\alpha_n \cap [\frac{2}{3}, 1]$ in the region $[\frac{2}{3}, 1]$. Since $\alpha_n \cap J_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha_n \cap J_3 \neq \emptyset$, if $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap [\frac{2}{3}, 1]) = 2$, then α_n does not contain any point from $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$. Assume that $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap [\frac{2}{3}, 1]) = 3$. Consider the set of three points $\gamma = \{\frac{25}{36}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{17}{18}\}$. Since,

$$\int_{[\frac{2}{3},1]} \min_{a\in\gamma}(x-a)^2 dP = \int_{[\frac{2}{3},\frac{13}{18}]} (x - \frac{25}{36})^2 dP + \int_{[\frac{13}{18},\frac{7}{9}]} (x - \frac{3}{4})^2 dP + \int_{J_3} (x - \frac{17}{18})^2 dP = \frac{5}{15552},$$

we have $V(P, \alpha_n \cap [\frac{2}{3}, 1]) \leq \frac{5}{15552} = 0.000321502$. If α_n contains a point from $(\frac{7}{9}, \frac{8}{9})$, we must have $\frac{7}{9} < a_{n-1} < \frac{8}{9}$. Suppose that $\frac{5}{6} \leq a_{n-1} < \frac{8}{9}$. Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_{n-2} + a_{n-1}) < \frac{7}{9}$ implying $a_{n-2} < \frac{14}{9} - a_{n-1} \leq \frac{14}{9} - \frac{5}{6} = \frac{13}{18}$. Now, notice that

$$\int_{J_2} \min_{a\in\alpha_n\cap[\frac{2}{3},1]}(x-a)^2 dP$$

$$= \int_{[\frac{2}{3},\frac{1}{2}(a_{n-2}+\frac{5}{6})]} (x - a_{n-2})^2 dP + \int_{[\frac{1}{2}(a_{n-2}+\frac{5}{6}),\frac{7}{9}]} (x - \frac{5}{6})^2 dP = \frac{9a_{n-2}^3}{16} - \frac{33a_{n-2}^2}{32} + \frac{39a_{n-2}}{64} - \frac{3541}{31104},$$

which is minimum if $a_{n-2} = \frac{13}{18}$, and then $\frac{1}{2}(a_{n-2} + a_{n-1}) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\frac{13}{18} + \frac{5}{6}) = \frac{7}{9}$, which contradicts the fact that $\frac{1}{2}(a_{n-2} + a_{n-1}) < \frac{7}{9}$. So, we can assume that $\frac{5}{6} \leq a_{n-1} < \frac{8}{9}$ is not true. Reflecting the situation with respect to the point $\frac{5}{6}$, we can show that $\frac{7}{9} < a_{n-1} \leq \frac{5}{6}$ is also not true. Therefore, if $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap [\frac{2}{3}, 1]) = 3$, the set α_n does not contain any point from $(\frac{7}{9}, \frac{8}{9})$. Next, assume that $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap [\frac{2}{3}, 1]) = m$ for some positive integer $m \geq 4$. Let $k = \max\{i : a_i < \frac{8}{9}\}$. Then, $a_k < \frac{8}{9}$. We need to show that $a_k \leq \frac{7}{9}$. Consider the set of four points $\delta = \{\frac{25}{36}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{11}{12}, \frac{35}{36}\}$. Since $V(P, \alpha_n \cap [\frac{2}{3}, 1])$ is the quantization error for m-means for $m \geq 4$, we have

$$V(P, \alpha_n \cap [\frac{2}{3}, 1]) \leq \int_{[\frac{2}{3},1]} \min_{a\in\delta}(x-a)^2 dP = \frac{1}{7776} = 0.000128601.$$

For the sake of contradiction, assume that $\frac{7}{9} < a_k < \frac{8}{9}$. The following two cases can arise:

Case A. $\frac{5}{6} \leq a_k < \frac{8}{9}$.

Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_{k-1} + a_k) < \frac{7}{9}$ implying $a_{k-1} < \frac{14}{9} - a_k = \frac{14}{9} - \frac{5}{6} = \frac{13}{18}$, and so

$$V(P, \alpha_n \cap [\frac{2}{3}, 1]) \geq \int_{[\frac{13}{18}, \frac{7}{9}]} (x - \frac{13}{18})^2 dP + \int_{J_2} \min_{a \in \alpha_n} (x-a)^2 dP > \int_{[\frac{13}{18}, \frac{7}{9}]} (x - \frac{13}{18})^2 dP = \frac{1}{7776},$$

implying $V(P, \alpha_n \cap [\frac{2}{3}, 1]) > \frac{1}{7776} = V(P, \alpha_n \cap [\frac{2}{3}, 1])$, which is a contradiction.

Case B. $\frac{7}{9} < a_k \leq \frac{5}{6}$.

Reflecting the situation in Case A with respect to the point $\frac{5}{6}$, in this case, we can also show that a contradiction arises.

Hence, by Case A and Case B, we can assume that α_n does not contain any point from the open interval $(\frac{7}{9}, \frac{8}{9})$, i.e., $a_k \leq \frac{7}{9}$. If the Voronoi region of any point from $\alpha_n \cap J_3$ contains points from J_2, then we must have $\frac{1}{2}(a_k + a_{k+1}) < \frac{7}{9}$ implying $a_k < \frac{14}{9} - a_{k+1} \leq \frac{14}{9} - \frac{8}{9} = \frac{2}{3}$, which contradicts the fact that $\alpha_n \cap J_2 \neq \emptyset$. If the Voronoi region of any point from $\alpha_n \cap J_2$ contains points from J_3, then we must have $\frac{1}{2}(a_k + a_{k+1}) > \frac{8}{9}$ implying $a_{k+1} > \frac{16}{9} - a_k \geq \frac{16}{9} - \frac{7}{9} = 1$, which gives another contradiction. Hence, the Voronoi region of any point from $\alpha_n \cap J_3$ does not contain any point from J_2, and the Voronoi region of any point from $\alpha_n \cap J_2$ does not contain any point from J_3. Thus, the proof of the proposition is complete. □

Due to Proposition 4.7, we are now ready to state and prove the following proposition, which helps us to determine the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization error for all $n \geq 3$ as stated in the subsequent notes.

Proposition 4.8. *Let α_n be an optimal set of n-means for $n \geq 3$. Write $\alpha_{n,j} := \alpha_n \cap J_j$ and $n_j := card(\alpha_{n,j})$ for $1 \leq j \leq 3$. Then, $\alpha_{n,j} = \alpha_{n_j}(P(\cdot|J_j))$ and $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$, with*

$$V_n = \sum_{j=1}^{3} V(P, \alpha_{n_j}(P(\cdot|J_j)), J_j) = \frac{1}{216}\frac{1}{n_1^2} + \frac{1}{3888}\Big(\frac{1}{n_2^2} + \frac{1}{n_3^2}\Big). \tag{3}$$

Proof. If $\alpha_{n,j}$ is not an optimal set of n_j-means with respect to the probability distribution $P(\cdot|J_j)$, we must have another set $\alpha'_{n,j}$ with cardinality n_j which will give smaller distortion error with respect to $P(\cdot|J_j)$ than the distortion error due to the set $\alpha_{n,j}$. This will contradict the fact that α_n is an optimal set of n-means with respect to the probability distribution P. Since $\alpha_{n,j}$ are disjoint for $1 \leq j \leq 3$ and α_n does not contain any point from the open intervals $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ and $(\frac{7}{9}, \frac{8}{9})$, we have $\alpha_n = \alpha_{n,1} \cup \alpha_{n,2} \cup \alpha_{n,3}$ and $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} V_n &= \int \min_{a \in \alpha_n} (x-a)^2 dP = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \int_{J_j} \min_{a \in \alpha_{n,j}} (x-a)^2 dP = \sum_{j=1}^{3} V(P, \alpha_{n_j}(P(\cdot|J_j)), J_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{216}\frac{1}{n_1^2} + \frac{1}{3888}\Big(\frac{1}{n_2^2} + \frac{1}{n_3^2}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof of the proposition is complete. □

Note 4.9. Since V_n represents the nth quantization error for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $n_2 + n_3 = m$ for some positive integer m, the expression $\frac{1}{3888}\Big(\frac{1}{n_2^2} + \frac{1}{n_3^2}\Big)$ is minimum if $n_2 \approx \frac{m}{2}$ and $n_3 \approx \frac{m}{2}$. Thus, we see that if $m = 2k$ for some positive integer k, then $n_2 = n_3 = k$, and if $m = 2k+1$ for some positive integer k, then either ($n_2 = k+1$ and $n_3 = k$) or ($n_2 = k$ and $n_3 = k+1$). Moreover, writing $n_2 = n_3$, or $n_2 = n_3 + 1$ in (3), it can be seen that $n_1 \geq \frac{n}{2}$ for any positive integer $n \geq 4$. Thus, we see that unlike the uniform distribution with infinitely many pieces, described in the previous section, the optimal sets of n-means for the uniform distribution with finitely many pieces for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are not unique: if $n_2 + n_3$ is an odd number then there are two different optimal sets of n-means, and if $n_2 + n_3$ is an even number then the optimal set of n-means is unique.

In the following note we describe how to determine the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization error for all $n \geq 3$.

Note 4.10. To determine an optimal set of n-means for any positive integer $n \geq 3$, we need to know n_1, n_2 and n_3 as described in Proposition 4.8. Notice that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 3$, we can easily determine n_1, n_2 and n_3 by minimizing the following function:

$$f(n_1, n_2, n_3) := \frac{1}{216}\frac{1}{n_1^2} + \frac{1}{3888}\Big(\frac{1}{n_2^2} + \frac{1}{n_3^2}\Big),$$

subject to the constraint $n_1+n_2+n_3 = n$. Once n_1, n_2 and n_3 are known, then by Proposition 4.3, using the following formula we can determine the corresponding optimal set of n-means:

$$\alpha_n = \alpha_{n_1}(P(\cdot|J_1)) \cup \alpha_{n_2}(P(\cdot|J_2)) \cup \alpha_{n_3}(P(\cdot|J_3)).$$

For example: If $n = 7$, then $\{n_1 = 4, n_2 = 2, n_3 = 1\}$, or $\{n_1 = 4, n_2 = 1, n_3 = 2\}$ and the corresponding quantization error is $\frac{19}{31104}$. If $n = 100$, then $\{n_1 = 56, n_2 = n_3 = 22\}$ and the corresponding quantization error is $\frac{1873}{737662464}$, etc.

References

[AW] E.F. Abaya and G.L. Wise, *Some remarks on the existence of optimal quantizers*, Statistics & Probability Letters, Volume 2, Issue 6, December 1984, Pages 349-351.

[DFG] Q. Du, V. Faber and M. Gunzburger, *Centroidal Voronoi Tessellations: Applications and Algorithms*, SIAM Review, Vol. 41, No. 4 (1999), pp. 637-676.

[DR] C.P. Dettmann and M.K. Roychowdhury, *Quantization for uniform distributions on equilateral triangles*, accepted: Real Analysis Exchange.

[GG] A. Gersho and R.M. Gray, *Vector quantization and signal compression*, Kluwer Academy publishers: Boston, 1992.

[GKL] R.M. Gray, J.C. Kieffer and Y. Linde, *Locally optimal block quantizer design*, Information and Control, 45 (1980), pp. 178-198.

[GL1] S. Graf and H. Luschgy, *Foundations of quantization for probability distributions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1730, Springer, Berlin, 2000.

[GL2] A. György and T. Linder, *On the structure of optimal entropy-constrained scalar quantizers*, IEEE transactions on information theory, vol. 48, no. 2, February 2002.

[GN] R. Gray and D. Neuhoff, *Quantization,* IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 44 (1998), pp. 2325-2383.

[Z] R. Zam, *Lattice Coding for Signals and Networks: A Structured Coding Approach to Quantization, Modulation, and Multiuser Information Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2014.

School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, 1201 West University Drive, Edinburg, TX 78539-2999, USA.

E-mail address: mrinal.roychowdhury@utrgv.edu